

Seminar Nasional FMI 2025 Batam

Prosiding



e-ISSN: 3026-4499
Vol. 3
21-23 Oktober 2025

Price War versus Value War: Strategic Shifts for Sustainable Competitive Advantage

Yolla Margaretha, Maya Malinda & Henky Lisan Suwarno

Maranatha Christian University, Bandung, Indonesia

Email: yolla.margaretha@eco.maranatha.edu

Abstract

In highly competitive markets, companies adopt various strategies to sustain and expand their market position. Price war, characterized by aggressive price reductions, often provides short-term sales growth but undermines long-term profitability and brand equity. In contrast, value war emphasizes differentiation, innovation, and customer experience to create sustainable competitive advantage. This conceptual paper synthesizes recent literature (2015–2024) to examine the strategic implications of both approaches. Findings indicate that while price wars can temporarily increase market share, they typically erode margins and commoditize offerings. Value wars, however, foster customer loyalty, enhance customer lifetime value, and support premium pricing. This study recommends that firms transition toward value-based strategies to strengthen long-term competitiveness.

Keywords price war, value war, competitive strategy, differentiation, value-based marketing.

1. Introduction

The intensification of global and domestic market competition has driven companies to adopt diverse strategies to defend and expand their market share (Porter, 1985). In today's dynamic business environment, consumers have become more informed, empowered, and demanding, prompting firms to rethink how they compete. One of the most common competitive tactics is the *price war*, characterized by aggressive and sustained price reductions aimed at attracting price-sensitive customers (Krugman, 2012). While this strategy can deliver immediate increases in sales volume and market penetration, its long-term consequences can be detrimental. Continuous price reductions often erode profit margins, weaken brand equity, and foster consumer perceptions that prioritize low cost over product quality or unique value (Kotler & Keller, 2016).

In contrast, the concept of a *value war* offers an alternative approach centered on creating superior value propositions rather than competing primarily on price. This strategy emphasizes product differentiation, exceptional service quality, innovation, and an enhanced customer experience as the foundations for competitive advantage (Bowman & Ambrosini, 2000). Companies that engage in *value wars* seek to cultivate stronger customer loyalty, command premium pricing, and reduce the risk of commoditization. By offering distinctive and irreplaceable value, firms can strengthen their competitive position and sustain profitability over the long term (Zeithaml, 1988).

Seminar Nasional FMI 2025 Batam

Prosiding



e-ISSN: 3026-4499

Vol. 3

21-23 Oktober 2025

The shift from price-based competition to value-based competition is increasingly essential for long-term business sustainability. This transition is driven by several factors, including market saturation of low-cost strategies, heightened importance of brand reputation, and the rise of consumer segments that value quality, personalization, and ethical considerations over mere affordability (Prahalad & Ramaswamy, 2004). Furthermore, advancements in digital technologies and the proliferation of online platforms have increased market transparency, enabling consumers to instantly compare offerings and making price-only competition less viable without compromising profitability (Chaffey & Ellis-Chadwick, 2019).

Given these developments, understanding the strategic trade-offs between *price war* and *value war* is critical for both scholars and practitioners. This paper provides a conceptual examination of these two competitive approaches, analyzing their defining characteristics, drivers, and implications for firm performance. By synthesizing insights from marketing management, strategic management, and consumer behavior literature, the paper offers a framework for guiding businesses in selecting competitive strategies that align with long-term market positioning and sustainable growth.

2. Literature Review

Price War. Price war refers to an aggressive competitive strategy where firms continuously lower prices to capture market share and outcompete rivals (Kang, 2021). This approach is prevalent in industries with high price transparency, low switching costs, and homogeneous products. While price wars can stimulate immediate sales growth, they often reduce profit margins, erode brand equity, and create a “race to the bottom” that damages long-term competitiveness (Li & Zhang, 2019). Recent studies have shown that in highly digitalized markets, price wars escalate faster due to real-time price monitoring and algorithmic pricing systems used in e-commerce (Chen et al., 2020). These dynamics make it difficult for companies to sustain profitability solely through price-based strategies, especially in mature markets with intense competition.

Value War. In contrast, value war focuses on competing through superior value propositions rather than price reductions (Kotler et al., 2021). This strategy is grounded in differentiation, service quality, innovation, and customer experience as the main sources of competitive advantage (Gamble et al., 2019). Value-driven competition encourages brand loyalty, supports premium pricing, and fosters sustainable growth. Empirical evidence suggests that companies engaging in value wars benefit from higher customer lifetime value and resilience against price-based competitors (Lee & Yoo, 2016). This aligns with modern relationship marketing approaches, where trust, emotional connection, and personalization significantly influence customer retention and profitability (Malthouse et al., 2019).

Transition from Price War to Value War. The strategic transition from price war to value war is increasingly essential in competitive markets. Factors such as consumer sophistication, increased importance of ethical and sustainable practices, and rapid technological

Seminar Nasional FMI 2025 Batam

Prosiding



e-ISSN: 3026-4499

Vol. 3

21-23 Oktober 2025

advancements have reduced the long-term viability of price-based competition (Kohtamäki et al., 2020). Moreover, digital transformation has shifted consumer focus toward brands that deliver unique, personalized, and socially responsible value (Islam et al., 2021). Recent research emphasizes that competing on value creates a defensible market position that is difficult for competitors to replicate, especially when value creation integrates innovation, customer engagement, and brand authenticity (Jiang et al., 2016; Clauss et al., 2019). This positions value war as not only a marketing tactic but also a strategic imperative for sustainable competitive advantage.

3. Research Method

This study adopts a descriptive–conceptual research design aimed at synthesizing and analyzing theoretical perspectives, empirical findings, and managerial insights on *price war* and *value war* strategies. This approach is appropriate because the research seeks to build a conceptual understanding rather than test empirical relationships (Snyder, 2019). By reviewing and integrating recent scholarly works, the study develops a comparative framework to explain the strategic implications of competing on price versus competing on value.

The study utilizes a qualitative literature review method, drawing from peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and credible industry reports published between 2015 and 2024. The analysis focuses on three primary domains: (1) Marketing Management – to understand competitive strategies and positioning approaches (Kotler et al., 2021). (2) Strategic Management – to analysed the long-term implications of price-based and value-based competition (Gamble et al., 2019). (3) Consumer Behaviour – to evaluate how consumers perceive value, quality, and price in purchase decision-making (Kang, 2021).

Relevant literature was identified using academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Keywords included *price war*, *value war*, *competitive strategy*, *differentiation strategy*, *value-based competition*, and *pricing strategy*. The search was restricted to works published in English between January 2015 and May 2024 to ensure contemporary relevance.

The data analysis followed a thematic synthesis approach (Braun & Clarke, 2019). Literature was categorized into themes, including: (1) Drivers of price war and value war; (2) Strategic outcomes of both approaches; (3) Implications for profitability and competitiveness; (4) Managerial recommendations for transitioning from price to value competition; (5) Validity and Reliability.

To enhance the validity of the conceptual framework, only peer-reviewed sources and well-established academic publications were included. Multiple perspectives were synthesized to ensure balanced interpretations and avoid bias (Snyder, 2019).

Given the conceptual nature of this research, no primary data collection or statistical analysis was conducted. Instead, the emphasis was placed on integrating theoretical insights and recent empirical findings to propose a strategic framework that can guide both scholars and practitioners in navigating the transition from price-based to value-based competition.

Seminar Nasional FMI 2025 Batam

Prosiding



e-ISSN: 3026-4499

Vol. 3

21-23 Oktober 2025

4. Finding and Discussion

The analysis of recent literature (2015–2024) reveals several key insights regarding the dynamics and implications of price war and value war strategies.

1. Nature and Triggers of Price War: Price wars commonly occur in markets with high product homogeneity, low switching costs, and transparent pricing systems, especially in digital commerce environments (Kang, 2021; Chen et al., 2020). Triggers include competitor price cuts, overcapacity, and short-term sales targets. While effective in generating rapid sales growth, prolonged price wars significantly reduce profit margins and lead to commoditization, weakening long-term competitiveness (Li & Zhang, 2019).

2. Strategic Value of Value War: Value war strategies emphasize creating unique customer value propositions through differentiation, innovation, and superior customer experiences (Kotler et al., 2021; Gamble et al., 2019). Firms that successfully implement value-driven approaches tend to enjoy stronger brand equity, greater customer loyalty, and more sustainable profitability compared to those relying solely on price competition (Lee & Yoo, 2016).

3. Comparative Performance Outcomes: The review indicates that while price war can temporarily increase sales volume, it often leads to a decline in *customer lifetime value* (CLV) due to weakened brand loyalty (Malthouse et al., 2019). In contrast, value war strategies are associated with higher CLV, resilience against competitive price cuts, and enhanced ability to command premium pricing (Koh Tamaki et al., 2020).

4. Transition Strategies: Several studies highlight that firms can transition from price competition to value-based competition by: Innovating product and service offerings, Enhancing customer engagement and personalization, Strengthening brand positioning around quality and authenticity, Leveraging technology for customer experience enhancement (Claus et al., 2019; Islam et al., 2021)

5. Implications for Business Sustainability: Overall, the findings suggest that in contemporary markets, competing primarily on price is increasingly unsustainable. The long-term viability of a firm depends on its ability to shift towards a value-centric model that emphasizes differentiation, customer relationships, and innovation.

5. Conclusion

This conceptual study highlights the fundamental differences between competing on price and competing on value, emphasizing their respective implications for business sustainability. The synthesis of recent literature indicates that while price war strategies may deliver short-term market share gains, they tend to erode profit margins, weaken brand equity, and increase the risk of commoditization. In contrast, value war strategies—rooted in differentiation, innovation, and customer experience—offer a more sustainable path to competitive advantage by fostering brand loyalty, enhancing customer lifetime value, and enabling premium pricing. In increasingly transparent and competitive markets, reliance on price-based tactics alone is no longer viable for long-term success. Firms are encouraged to transition toward value-based

Seminar Nasional FMI 2025 Batam

Prosiding



e-ISSN: 3026-4499

Vol. 3

21-23 Oktober 2025

competition by focusing on innovation, personalization, and authentic customer engagement. Such a strategic shift not only strengthens market positioning but also contributes to long-term profitability and resilience against competitive pressures. Future research could build on this conceptual framework by empirically testing the proposed relationships between value-based strategies and sustainable competitive advantage across various industries. This would provide further validation and practical guidance for both academics and practitioners seeking to navigate the shift from price war to value war in today's dynamic business environment.

References

- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2019). Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 11(4), 589–597. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2019.1628806>
- Chen, L., Mislove, A., & Wilson, C. (2020). An empirical analysis of algorithmic pricing on Amazon Marketplace. *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, 2431–2441. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3292500.3330700>
- Clauss, T., Abebe, M., & Tangpong, C. (2019). Strategic agility, business model innovation, and firm performance: An empirical investigation. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 68(3), 767–784. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2019.2895791>
- Gamble, J., Peteraf, M., & Thompson, A. (2019). *Essentials of strategic management: The quest for competitive advantage* (6th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- Islam, T., Pitafi, A. H., Arya, V., Wang, Y., Akhtar, N., Mubarik, S., & Xiaobei, L. (2021). Panic buying in the COVID-19 pandemic: A multi-country examination. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 59, 102357. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102357>
- Kang, J. (2021). The effects of price competition on firm performance in competitive markets. *Journal of Business Research*, 132, 730–742. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.10.041>
- Koh Tamaki, M., Parida, V., Oghazi, P., Gebauer, H., & Baines, T. (2020). Digital servitization business models in ecosystems: A theory of the firm. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 380–392. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.06.027>
- Kotler, P., Keller, K. L., Ancarani, F., & Costabile, M. (2021). *Marketing management* (4th European ed.). Pearson.
- Lee, J., & Yoo, C. (2016). The impact of value co-creation on customer loyalty: Evidence from the airline industry. *Service Business*, 10(1), 93–117. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11628-015-0261-6>
- Li, H., & Zhang, J. (2019). Price competition and firm profitability: Evidence from Chinese manufacturing firms. *China Economic Review*, 55, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chieco.2019.02.001>
- Malthouse, E. C., Haenlein, M., Skiera, B., Wege, E., & Zhang, M. (2019). Managing customer relationships in the social media era: Introducing the social CRM house. *Journal of Interactive*

Seminar Nasional FMI 2025 Batam

Prosiding



e-ISSN: 3026-4499

Vol. 3

21-23 Oktober 2025

Marketing, 27(4), 270–280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2013.09.008>

Reinartz, W., & Kumar, V. (2003). The impact of customer relationship characteristics on profitable lifetime duration. *Journal of Marketing*, 67(1), 77–99. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.67.1.77.18589>

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)